Name of the Author:Dr.HENRY

Affiliation: IGNOU, New Delhi

Designation: Academic Counselor

Contact No. – 8447497950

Email. Id. – swatisourav17@gmail.com

Title of the Paper: Social Research in Evolving Contexts: Addressing Shifts in Society

<u>Abstract</u>

This paper examines the evolving nature of social research in response to rapidly changing societal contexts, with a particular emphasis on the Indian setting. The study critiques the traditional concept of "progress" by questioning whether economic growth and technological advancements necessarily equate to improved quality of life, especially for marginalized communities. Using examples from urban-rural dynamics in India, it argues for a broader understanding of development that includes social equity, cultural preservation, and sustainability. Furthermore, the paper advocates for methodological pluralism and evidence-based research, highlighting the benefits of mixed-method approaches in capturing the nuanced realities of complex social issues, such as caste disparities and education. Through these discussions, it underscores the need for research that is sensitive to local contexts and reflective of diverse social experiences.

Reflective thinking and ethical practices are emphasized as crucial for researchers working across varied cultural and socio-economic backgrounds, particularly in countries like India. By fostering reflexivity and embracing community-engaged, participatory methods, social researchers can produce findings that are both accurate and relevant. The paper concludes by discussing implications for future research in India, where interdisciplinary approaches are essential for addressing emerging issues like climate change, migration, and health inequalities. Ultimately, this study calls for a shift towards adaptable, ethical, and context-sensitive methodologies that allow social research to effectively respond to the multifaceted challenges of contemporary society.

Keywords: Social Research, Methodological Pluralism, Reflexivity, Evidence-Based Research

Introduction

Herbert Blumer (1969) explains that "methodology" isn't just a set of rules for doing research; it's a way of approaching the entire research process in a way that fits the real world being studied. Methodology goes beyond just picking methods—it involves everything from understanding the social world you're examining, to asking meaningful questions, and deciding on the best ways to investigate them. This includes choosing the right methods, identifying the data you need, defining useful concepts, and making sense of the results (Blumer 1969: 23). In other words, the actual research methods are only one piece of the much larger process of doing research thoughtfully and effectively.

Across the social sciences and humanities, different research methods have developed and gained popularity over time, with trends often varying by country. Since the 1930s, survey research and statistical methods have been widely used, while qualitative methods have become more popular only recently. Today, there's renewed interest in both quantitative methods and mathematical approaches like fuzzy logic (Ragin 2000). Using a mix of methods (e.g. Goldthorpe et al. 1968) and creative statistical techniques (e.g. Bourdieu 1984) isn't new, but the focus on mixed-methods research really grew in the late 1980s, leading to many specialized publications on this approach (Brannen 1992, Bryman 1988, Creswell 2003, Tashakkori and Teddlie 2003).

Many social scientists have always combined qualitative and quantitative techniques. For example, they might cross-check their data with statistical methods (Alasuutari 1995, Silverman 1985, 2000) or apply multivariate approaches (Clayman and Heritage 2002) to better understand complex social issues. Charles Ragin's work in 1987 introduced qualitative comparative methods, a middle ground that uses logic rather than just statistics. This reflects a longstanding diversity in social research practices.

Today, the social sciences are increasingly open to blending methods. Scholars see methods as tools to answer a range of research questions, not as fixed approaches. Some researchers focus on coding data and using statistics to find patterns, while others use case studies to explore broader insights. Both approaches can even be combined in studies that mix case studies with surveys.

Although qualitative and quantitative methods come from different research traditions, they aren't strict opposites. As Ragin (1994) and others suggest, they are part of a continuum, each bringing different practices to the table. For instance, quantitative research often includes various statistical methods, while qualitative research has also expanded beyond its traditional approaches. Because much research doesn't fit neatly into just one category, there's a welcome shift toward flexibility and questioning the old "qualitative versus quantitative" divide.

Social research is an essential tool for understanding the complexities of human society, especially in times of rapid change. As societal norms, values, and structures evolve, researchers must adapt their approaches to address these shifts effectively. This article explores four critical aspects of social research in evolving contexts: questioning the idea of "progress," methodological variety and evidence-based research, the role of reflective thinking, and the implications for future studies, with a particular emphasis on the Indian context.

The nature of social research is inherently dynamic, shaped by the realities of the societies it seeks to study. In India, a nation marked by significant diversity and ongoing change, social research must grapple with the multifaceted challenges presented by globalization, urbanization, and technological advancement. These factors necessitate an ongoing reevaluation of traditional research paradigms and the adoption of more inclusive and context-sensitive methodologies. Through this exploration, the article aims to highlight the importance of adaptability and critical thinking in conducting meaningful social research in contemporary society.

Questioning the Idea of "Progress" in Social Research

It's easy to think that the growing openness to diverse research methods in social sciences is proof of scientific progress. After years of debate—especially between researchers using qualitative and quantitative approaches—it might seem like we've finally realized that combining different methods and ways of framing questions can produce the best results. This could mean using mixed methods, trying new tools like hypermedia in qualitative research, or adopting multi-mode approaches in surveys.

In discussing new trends in research, it's also common to explain these shifts as progress in overcoming past limitations. For instance, Denzin and Lincoln's *Handbook of Qualitative*

Research tells a "progress" story, describing seven phases of qualitative research, from its early traditional period (1900–1950) to modern (1950-1970) to blurred genres (1970-1986) to the crisis of representation (1986-1990) to experimental ethnographies (1990-1995) to experimental inquiry (1995-2000) to future-focused phases (2000 onwards). While this narrative highlights the evolution of qualitative research, it also implies a "right" direction for researchers to follow. Yet, this progress narrative often reflects only a small group's view—mainly American researchers from similar backgrounds.

The concept of progress in social research has often been linked to a linear narrative of societal development, rooted in Western paradigms. The traditional view posits that societies naturally evolve from 'primitive' to 'advanced' stages, often glorifying industrialization and modernization as indicators of progress. However, this notion can be misleading, especially in diverse contexts like India, where traditional practices coexist with modern advancements.

For example, while India has witnessed remarkable economic growth, particularly in urban centers, this progress has not been uniform. The stark realities of poverty, illiteracy, and lack of basic services remain prevalent in many rural areas. Researchers must question whether this growth represents genuine progress or if it merely enhances disparities among different social groups. The rise of technology in urban areas has transformed the lives of many, yet rural communities still struggle with basic needs such as water and sanitation.

The rapid development of cities often leads to the marginalization of rural populations. For instance, the government's push for urbanization and industrialization in states like Maharashtra has resulted in the displacement of numerous farmers and indigenous communities. These communities, often reliant on traditional agriculture, find themselves excluded from the benefits of economic progress. Research into these dynamics reveals that while urban centers flourish, the rural hinterlands continue to grapple with challenges that threaten their existence.

Moreover, this questioning of progress prompts researchers to reconsider what constitutes development. The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) established by the United Nations highlight the need for inclusive growth that benefits all segments of society. In the Indian context, this means not only focusing on economic indicators but also addressing social equity, environmental sustainability, and cultural preservation. By critically examining the idea of

progress, researchers can identify the complex realities that exist beneath the surface of economic indicators, leading to a more nuanced understanding of societal changes and their implications for different populations. As the sociologist Giddens (1990) suggests, the concept of progress should be re-evaluated in light of contemporary social conditions, emphasizing the need for a multifaceted approach to understanding development.

Social science doesn't just address social issues—it also reflects the historical and cultural conditions of its time. Since society's development doesn't follow a single, straightforward path, research methods and theories also shift over time, shaped by history and context. So, the idea that social research could eventually have a fixed "toolbox" of universally applicable methods is unrealistic. Research methods evolve differently across societies and are often influenced by trends. It's rare for an entirely new method to be invented; instead, methods tend to adapt to the needs and questions of the time.

Methodological Variety and Evidence-Based Research

When we look at shifts in social research, it's essential to consider the social and historical context. For instance, the current trend toward greater flexibility in research methods partly reflects the environment in Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries, where there's growing demand for research that supports policy and serves public interest. Governments in these countries now focus on accountability and often require research that is grounded in evidence-based practices. This emphasis aligns with the broader trend of "new public management," where policy decisions rely on scientifically validated research. As a result, social science has invested heavily in systematic review processes—structured methods for evaluating research evidence.

In the U.S., where action research and accountability to various "user" groups are well-established, program evaluation plays a significant role in policy. Many government programs need to be evaluated, often through rigorous randomized experiments. This push for evidence-based approaches, particularly in health, mental health, and education, reflects the influence of similar models like Britain's evidence-based medicine approach. The U.S. Department of Education's "No Child Left Behind" program, for example, emphasizes measurable academic improvement. Additionally, the Campbell Collaboration, inspired by the Cochrane Collaboration in medicine,

compiles systematic evidence on programs' effectiveness in areas like mental health, education, and criminal justice. Federal agencies are now expected to formally review their own performance under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA).

Systematic reviews are common in quantitative research, where quality can be measured in scientific terms. Now, qualitative research is also adopting this approach, requiring researchers to establish more rigorous arguments and standards for evaluating their evidence.

Social research is also increasingly shaped by cross-disciplinary pilot projects aimed at tackling social, economic, and environmental issues. These projects, often collaborations between public, private, and civil society sectors, focus on creating practical solutions and setting "best practices" to promote globally. This approach reshapes the role of social research by combining research with project management. This trend boosts interest in action research methods, which are more adaptable for direct, policy-related projects. The evaluation of these projects has also spurred growth in the field of evaluation research, which assesses project outcomes to inform policies.

However, the demand for policy-oriented research affects academia differently across regions. In some places, universities seek external funding to make up for cuts in public funding, while in countries like the UK, universities are increasingly run like businesses, relying on external research funding to cover costs. This push for policy-driven research weakens traditional academic disciplines in favor of cross-disciplinary research programs that tap into these new funding sources. Cross-disciplinary research improves the exchange of knowledge between fields, positioning methodology as an area that bridges disciplines.

While studying third world countries like India, the increasing complexity of social issues necessitates a methodological variety that accommodates different perspectives and contexts. Traditional quantitative methods, while valuable, often fail to capture the richness of social phenomena, particularly in a diverse country like India. Qualitative approaches, including ethnography, case studies, and in-depth interviews, provide a deeper understanding of lived experiences and social realities.

For instance, research on caste dynamics in India reveals how deeply ingrained social hierarchies shape individual identities and access to resources. A quantitative survey might overlook the

subtlety of these relationships, while qualitative methods can uncover the nuanced narratives of marginalized communities. For example, Desai (2010) highlights how caste influences educational attainment and employment opportunities, indicating that while formal policies may promote equality, the lived experiences of individuals often reflect entrenched inequalities.

Moreover, as mentioned earlier, the integration of mixed-methods research can enhance the robustness of findings. Evidence-based research, which combines quantitative data with qualitative insights, offers a more holistic understanding of social issues. In India, initiatives aimed at improving education in rural areas, such as the Mid-Day Meal Scheme, have been evaluated using mixed methods. Researchers combine statistical analysis of student performance with qualitative interviews of teachers and students to assess the program's effectiveness (Jha and Jhingran 2009). This approach allows researchers to examine not only the measurable outcomes of the program but also the perceptions and experiences of those involved, thereby providing a richer analysis of its impact.

Additionally, the rise of participatory research methods in India emphasizes the importance of community involvement in the research process. By actively engaging with communities, researchers can ensure that their work reflects the priorities and realities of the populations they study. Participatory rural appraisal (PRA) techniques, for instance, enable communities to express their needs and perspectives, fostering a sense of ownership over the research outcomes. This collaborative approach not only enriches the data collected but also empowers communities to advocate for their interests.

By embracing methodological variety and evidence-based research, social researchers can produce findings that are not only robust but also relevant to the complex realities of the populations they study. Such an approach is essential for addressing pressing social issues in India, such as health disparities, education, and gender inequality.

In this context, methodology is starting to become a discipline of its own, or at least part of the foundation for traditional disciplines. New researchers are now trained in a broad range of methods rather than within a specific field like sociology. While having a large set of tools is helpful, there's a risk that without strong ties between theory and practice, researchers may lack the broader perspective—or "sociological imagination"—that C. Wright Mills (1959) emphasized. As

methodology gains more importance across the social sciences, there's a need to remember Lewis Coser's (1975) warning to the American Sociological Association: we shouldn't create researchers with excellent technical skills but little ability to think innovatively.

The Importance of Qualitative Research

In recent years, advanced societies have embraced more varied research methods, and interest in quantitative methods has also grown. However, qualitative research has been particularly popular over the last few decades. In fact, in places like the UK, most sociologists conduct qualitative studies, and only about 5% of articles in leading British sociology journals rely on quantitative methods (Payne et al. 2004). This trend is also evident in countries like Finland (Räsänen et al. 2005), Canada (Platt 2006), and the U.S. (Clark 1999), where qualitative research has been gaining ground since the 1990s.

This shift toward qualitative methods aligns with new theoretical directions, such as the "cultural" or "linguistic turn," where the focus is on interpreting social behavior rather than just measuring it. Constructivist approaches, which emphasize personal experience, identity, and subjectivity, have become more prominent, while traditional approaches like structural sociology have become less common. In the UK, for example, sociologists moved away from Marxist analysis after the 1980s and began focusing more on individual perspectives and experiences, which helped boost the popularity of qualitative research (May 2005: 522).

Interest in studying culture and identity in qualitative research partly arose from a desire to distance social science from economic-focused theories. Other social movements, like feminism in the 1970s, also influenced this trend. Feminist social scientists wanted to highlight women's experiences and address gender inequality, which helped establish a foundation for qualitative research. By the 1980s, qualitative research was firmly established in places like the UK and Finland, and questions of identity became a core focus (Alastalo 2005).

In the U.S., qualitative research gained popularity as a response to "scientific" sociology, which relies heavily on hypothesis testing. Qualitative methods, which are more flexible, allowed researchers to explore social issues by understanding people's experiences and perspectives. This approach was also seen as a way to "give voice" to marginalized groups and view the world through their lens (Becker 1967, Becker and Horowitz 1972).

The increased interest in qualitative research can also be linked to recent policy changes that emphasize understanding people's subjective experiences (Rose 1996: 151). For example, as public services become privatized, treating citizens more like customers, there is more demand for expertise in areas related to personal experiences. In Finland, when the national broadcasting company YLE anticipated competition due to deregulation in the 1980s, it launched a qualitative research program to better understand audiences' viewing preferences. Similarly, across many OECD countries, media deregulation led to more qualitative studies on audience engagement (OECD 1993, 1999).

Let us take another example, In India qualitative research matters because understanding people's lives often requires going beyond numbers and surveys. This is where qualitative research becomes powerful. India's rich diversity—whether it's differences in language, religion, caste, or regional culture—makes it important to listen to people's own stories to really grasp their experiences. For instance, a survey might show that a community faces high unemployment, but it's through interviews that we learn how caste-based discrimination or lack of local schools limits job opportunities. Qualitative research in India isn't just about describing problems; it's about seeing how society's structures and traditions impact people differently, making these insights critical for social change.

Overall, the growing focus on subjectivity and identity in qualitative research connects with broader social changes, even if the link to policy is sometimes indirect. This trend highlights the role of qualitative research in exploring how people understand and experience the world around them.

The Importance of Reflective Thinking in Social Research

Today, social research plays a more strategic role in society than ever before. This doesn't mean that strategy is the only factor guiding social research or the methods we use. But it's essential for social scientists to stay aware of the social environment shaping our field. By doing so, we're better prepared to meet new expectations, like being able to explain why we chose certain methods and how we interpret our findings. On one hand, we must maintain integrity in our research claims, while on the other, we need to engage with those who fund and use social research. Being reflective about our role helps social scientists remain critical and thoughtful in their work.

Now, as social research becomes more closely connected with policy-making and methodology takes on more importance, it's vital to remember that methods aren't separate from the larger goals of science. They should be connected to theoretical ideas that inspire research. A big part of doing quality research is challenging our own assumptions and being open to findings that might surprise us. This mindset allows researchers to stay curious and engaged, leading to deeper insights.

Therefore, reflective thinking becomes a crucial component of social research, particularly in evolving contexts. Researchers must continuously assess their biases, assumptions, and the impact of their work on the communities they study. This reflexivity is especially important in India, where researchers may come from different cultural backgrounds than their subjects, leading to potential misunderstandings.

For example, in studying the impact of urbanization on rural livelihoods, a researcher from an urban background might unintentionally overlook the significance of traditional practices that continue to play a role in rural life. Engaging in reflective thinking allows researchers to recognize their positionality and biases, facilitating a more nuanced understanding of the subjects they study (Bourke 2014). It also encourages researchers to question their motivations and the potential consequences of their research, fostering an ethical approach to data collection and analysis.

Moreover, reflective practice allows researchers to adapt their methodologies in real-time, responding to the evolving needs of their subjects. This adaptability is particularly relevant in community-based research, where establishing trust and rapport is essential for collecting accurate data. In India, participatory research approaches have gained traction, enabling researchers to collaborate with communities and co-create knowledge that reflects their realities (Cornwall and Jewkes 1995).

For instance, researchers studying health issues in tribal areas of Madhya Pradesh have employed participatory methods to engage local communities in identifying their health concerns. By facilitating workshops and discussions, researchers empower community members to articulate their needs, leading to more relevant and effective interventions. This approach not only enhances the quality of data collected but also strengthens the relationship between researchers and communities.

Furthermore, reflective thinking encourages researchers to consider the broader social implications of their work. In the Indian context, where social issues are often intertwined with historical injustices and power dynamics, understanding the implications of research findings is crucial. Researchers must grapple with questions of representation, voice, and advocacy, ensuring that their work contributes to social justice and equity.

Implications for Future Studies

As society continues to evolve, social research must adapt to address emerging challenges and complexities. The interplay between globalization, technology, and social change demands innovative research methods and approaches. Researchers should embrace interdisciplinary collaborations that draw on insights from various fields, including anthropology, psychology, and environmental studies.

In the Indian context, addressing pressing issues such as climate change, migration, and health disparities requires a multifaceted approach. For instance, research on the impact of climate change on rural farming communities must consider economic, social, and environmental factors. The integration of quantitative data on crop yields with qualitative narratives from farmers provides a comprehensive understanding of the challenges faced by these communities (Singh et al. 2020).

Moreover, the implications of migration, both internal and international, are significant in the Indian context. Researchers must examine how migration patterns affect social structures, family dynamics, and economic opportunities. The work of sociologist S. Irudaya Rajan highlights the complexities of migration in India, where labor migration often leads to the disintegration of traditional family structures and alters social norms. By employing a range of methodological approaches, researchers can capture the multifaceted impacts of migration on communities and individuals.

Furthermore, fostering a culture of ethical research practices is paramount. Researchers must prioritize the voices of marginalized communities, ensuring their participation in the research process and the application of findings. This commitment to ethical research is particularly relevant in India, where power imbalances often shape the research landscape. By ensuring that marginalized voices are included in the research process, researchers can produce findings that genuinely reflect the complexities of social issues.

ISSN NO: 2249-3034

For example, initiatives aimed at addressing gender-based violence in India must engage with the perspectives of survivors, community members, and activists. By prioritizing these voices, researchers can develop interventions that resonate with the realities faced by women and girls, ultimately leading to more effective policy recommendations.

Conclusion

In conclusion, social research in evolving contexts requires a critical examination of traditional notions of progress, a commitment to methodological diversity, and an emphasis on reflective thinking. By addressing these aspects, researchers can produce meaningful insights that resonate with the complexities of contemporary society. The Indian context provides a rich landscape for exploring these themes, highlighting the importance of adaptable, ethical, and inclusive research practices. As society continues to evolve, the role of social research in understanding and addressing social issues remains more crucial than ever.

References

- Alastalo, Marja (2005) *The Power of Methodological Trends: Survey Research in Finnish Sociology 1947–2000.* Tampere: Vastapaino.
- Alasuutari, Pertti (1995) Researching Culture: Qualitative Method and Cultural Studies. London: Sage.
- Alasuutari, Pertti (1999) 'Three Phases of Reception Studies.' Pp. 1–21 in *Rethinking the Media Audience: The New Agenda*, edited by Alasuutari, Pertti. London: Sage.
- Becker, Howard S. (1967) 'Whose Side Are We On?' Social Problems 14(3): 239–47.
- Becker, Howard S. and Irving Louis Horowitz (1972) 'Radical Politics and Sociological Research: Observations on Methodology and Ideology.' *Americal Journal of Sociology* 78(1): 48–66.
- Blumer, Herbert (1969) *Symbolic Interactionism: Perspective and Method*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Bourke, B. 2014. "Positionality: Reflecting on the Research Process." *The Qualitative Report* 19 (1): 1-9.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1984) *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Brannen, Julia (1992) *Mixing Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Research*. Aldershot: Avebury.

- ISSN NO: 2249-3034
- Bryman, Alan (1988) Quantity and Quality in Social Research. London: Unwin Hyman.
- Clayman, Steven E. and John Heritage (2002) 'Questioning Presidents: Journalistic Deference and Adversarialness in the Press Conferences of U.S. Presidents Eisenhower and Reagan.' *Journal of Communication* 52(4): 749–75.
- Cornwall, A., and E. Jewkes. 1995. "What is Participatory Research?" *Social Science & Medicine* 41 (12): 1667-1676.
- Coser, L (1975) 'Presidential address: Two methods in search of a substance.' *American Sociological Review* 40(6): 691–700.
- Creswell, John W. (2003) *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches.* 2nd ed. London: Sage.
- Denzin, Norman K. and Yvonna S. Lincoln (2000) 'Introduction: The Discipline and Practice of Qualitative Research.' Pp. 1–28 in *Handbook of Qualitative Research*, 2nd ed., edited by Denzin, Norman K. and Yvonna S. Lincoln. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Desai, A. R. 2010. Caste and the Politics of Equality in India. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Giddens, A. 1990. *The Consequences of Modernity*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Goldthorpe, John H., David Lockwood, Frank Bechhofer and Jennifer Platt (1968) The Affluent Worker: Industrial Attitudes and Behaviour. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jha, J., and A. Jhingran. 2009. "Elementary Education in India: The Challenge of Quality." National University of Educational Planning and Administration (NUEPA).
- Kothari, U. 2001. "Power, Knowledge and Social Control in Participatory Development." In *Participation: The New Tyranny*?, edited by Bill Cooke and Uma Kothari, 139-152. London: Zed Books.
- May, Carl (2005) 'Methodological Pluralism, British Sociology and the Evidence-based State: A Reply to Payne et al.' *Sociology* 39(3): 519–28.
- Mills, Wright C. (1959) *The Sociological Imagination*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- OECD (1993) 'Competition Policy and a Changing Broadcast Industry.'
- OECD (1999) 'Regulation and Competition Issues in Broadcasting in the Light of Convergence.'
- Payne, Geoff, Malcolm Williams and Suzanne Chamberlain (2004) 'Methodological Pluralism in British Sociology.' Sociology 38(1): 153–63.

- ISSN NO: 2249-3034
- Platt, Jennifer (2006) 'How Distinctive Are Canadian Research Methods?' Canadian Review of Sociology & Anthropology 43(2): 205–31.
- Ragin, Charles C. (1987) *The Comparative Method: Moving Beyond Qualitative and Quantitative Strategies.* Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Ragin, Charles C. (1994) Constructing Social Research: The Unity and Diversity of Method. Thousand Oaks: Pine Forge Press.
- Ragin, Charles C. (2000) Fuzzy-Set Social Science. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Rani, U., and M. M. Kumar. 2019. "Gender Disparities in Education: A Study of Rural India." *International Journal of Educational Development* 68: 54-63.
- Räsänen, Pekka, Jani Erola and Juho Härkönen (2005) 'Theory and research in the Sosiologia journal.' *Sosiologia* 42(4): 309–14.
- Rose, Nikolas (1996) *Inventing Our Selves: Psychology, Power, and Personhood.* Cambridge, England; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Silverman, David (1985) *Qualitative Methodology and Sociology: Describing the Social World.* Aldershot: Gower.
- Silverman, David (2000) *Doing Qualitative Research: A Practical Handbook.* London: Sage.
- Singh, A., K. T. Sharma, and R. K. Gupta. 2020. "Impacts of Climate Change on Indian Agriculture: A Review." *Journal of Climate Change* 1 (1): 1-15.
- Tashakkori, Abbas and Charles Teddlie (2003) *Handbook of Mixed Methods in Social and Behavioral Research*. London: Sage.
- Tharu, M., and K. N. Deshpande. 2018. *Understanding Migration in India: A Critical Perspective*. New Delhi: Routledge.