ISSN NO: 2249-3034

Techniques for Sampling

Dr.CHANDRA MOHAN

Abstract -

Research benefits greatly from sampling. It is among the key elements that influences how accurate the results of your survey or research will be. Should there be any issues with your sample, the outcome will be directly affected. Numerous methods exist to assist us in gathering samples based on the circumstances and needs.

Selecting a small group of individuals from a larger group is known as sampling. This is frequently discovered when population data collection is required for statistical analysis, demographic surveys, and economic research.

Sampling, as used in statistics, quality control, and survey technique, is the process of choosing a subset or statistical sample abbreviated "sample" for short of people from a statistical population in order to estimate the characteristics of the entire population. The goal of the subset is to represent the entire population, and statisticians make an effort to gather representative samples. Sampling can be useful when it is not practical to measure the entire population because it is less expensive and allows for faster data collection than capturing data from the full population.

Every observation quantifies one or more characteristics of distinct items or people, such as mass, weight, location, colour, or other attributes. Weights can be used in survey sampling, especially in stratified sampling, to adjust the data for the sample design. The technique is guided by findings from statistics and probability theory. Sampling is a common method used in business and medical research to obtain data about a community. To find out if a production batch of material satisfies the relevant requirements, acceptance sampling is utilised.

Key word- Sampling, Observation , probability ,

Introduction -

The sampling technique studies only a portion of the universe and draws conclusions for the entire universe based on that analysis, rather than looking at every unit in the universe.

The concept of sampling is not very new, even if the theory of sampling has evolved significantly in recent years. People have inspected a handful of grains to determine the quality of the entire bunch since ancient times. To determine whether the rice is ready, a homemaker looks at two or three grains of boiling rice. A physician analyses a few blood droplets to determine the blood composition of the entire body. After reviewing a small sample of the substance, a businessman places an order for it. To determine whether the class as a whole is

ISSN NO: 2249-3034

understanding the lesson, a teacher may quiz one or two pupils. Actually, there are very few fields where the technique of sampling a not used either consciously or unconsciously.

Selecting a Sampling Technique: It should be remembered that every technique has a unique set of applications. The choice of a specific sampling technique would be influenced, consciously or unconsciously, by a variety of factors, including the nature of the problem, the size of the universe, the size of the sample, the availability of money, time, etc.

Sample size: Selecting an appropriate sampling strategy requires careful consideration of the sample size. Experts on this topic have voiced differing perspectives. While some suggest the sample size should be at least 10%, others have proposed that it should be 4% of the population size. These opinions are, however, largely useless because, in actuality, the right sample size depends on a number of factors related to the topic being studied, such as the desired level of accuracy, the time and cost considerations, etc. The idea of sampling is not very helpful in determining the appropriate sample size in any given circumstance. When choosing the right sample size, it's advisable to take into account the next two factors.

* As the variety in the particular item rises, the size of the simple should also increase.
* The larger the sample size, the higher the desired level of accuracy.

Positive aspects -

1. Quickness, or less time
2. The Economy
3. Convenience for Administration:
4. Trustworthy
5. Expanded Range
6. The population is infinite or hypothetical.
7. In-depth Examinations

ERROR - It is the discrepancy between the estimated or approximated value and the true value. Numerous factors contribute to statistical errors, including

1. Measurement approximation -

2) Rounding numbers to the closest hundreds, thousands, or millions or rounding decimals to the nearest

3) Biases caused by incorrect data collection, incorrect data analysis, and prejudiced results presentation and interpretation.

4) Personal biases of the investigators and so on

Sample Error – Sampling error is the mistake incurred when approximating population characteristics primarily only on a sample, and it occurs because only a small percentage of the population is used in the calculation.

Reasons of sampling errors -

1. Sample selection facility: If a sample is chosen using an inadequate sampling approach, bias is introduced.

2. Substitution : In the event that the investigators are unable to count a specific sampling unit that is part of the random sample, they often replace it with a practical member of the population. Due to the fact that the attributes held by the replacement unit will typically differ from those of the unit that was initially included in the sample, this causes bias..

3. Improper sampling unit demarcation: In area surveys, such as field agricultural trials or crop cutting surveys, bias resulting from improper sampling unit delineation is especially important.

4. Error generated by bias in the forecasting process: An incorrect choice of estimation methods can lead to an error.

5. Variability of the population- Sampling error also depends on the variability or heterogeneity of the population to be sampled.

Significant elements that contribute to non-sampling errors in surveys include:

1. Inadequate planning, such as imprecise and incorrect definitions of the population or the statistical units to be employed; a deficient population membership list.

2. A vague and poor questionnaire that could provide inaccurate or incomplete data

3. Ineffective techniques for conducting interviews and posing queries:

4. Lack of clarity regarding the nature of the data to be gathered.

5. Exaggerated or incorrect responses to the questions that play to the respondents' egos, pride, or self-interest.

6. The investigator's personal prejudice

7. A shortage of supervisory staff as well as a dearth of experienced and trained investigators.

8. The respondent's inability to recollect past events or occurrences.

9. Failure to respond and insufficient or lacking in information

10. Inadequate insurance

11. Gathering Mistakes

12.Publication mistake.

Errors that are prejudiced or cumulative - Errors with bias emerge as the outcome of

1) Bias on the part of the enumerator or investigator, whose preconceptions and ideas could influence the investigation's finding

2) Bias in the equipment or gauge used to record the observations.
3) Bias resulting from faulty data gathering methods, statistical methods, and formulas applied to the data analysis.
4) Respondent's bias: Appealing to someone's pride or prestige can lead to a bias known as prestige bias, which causes them to inflate their age, understate their wealth, improve their education, or work status, among other things, in order to protect their own interests.

5) Bias in the technique of approximations: If, while rounding off, each individual value is either approximated to next highest or lowest number so that all the errors move in the same direction, there is bias for overstatement or understatement respectively.

Fair Mistakes (Compensating Mistakes) - if the likelihood of overestimating something is almost equal to the likelihood of underestimating something. Because these faults travel in both directions, the errors in one disruption are mostly offset by the errors in the other, so that the final outcome is not much impacted.

References -

1. Aitkin, M. (2008). Applications of the Bayesian bootstrap in finite population inference. Journal of Official Statistics, 24, 21–51.

2. Alexander, C. H. (1987). A class of methods for using person controls in household weighting. Survey Methodology, 13, 183–198.

3. Asok, C., & Sukhatme, B. V. (1976). On Sampford's procedure of unequal probability sampling without replacement. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 71, 912–918.

4 .Bahadur, R. R. (1966). A note on quantiles in large samples. Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 37, 577–580.

5 .Baker, R., Brick, J. M., Bates, N. A., Battaglia, M., Couper, M. P., Dever, J. A., et al. (2013). Report of the AAPOR task force on non-probability sampling. Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology, 1, 90–143.

6. Ben-Shlomo Y, Brookes S, Hickman M. 2013. Lecture Notes: Epidemiology, Evidence-based Medicine and Public Health (6th ed.), Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford.
7. http://www.stats.gla.ac.uk/steps/glossary/sampling.html - Accessed 8/04/17