# COMPARATIVE EFFECTIVENESS OF ABA AND TEACCH METHODS IN COMPREHENSIVE REHABILITATION SERVICES FOR CHILDREN WITH AUTISM: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

PROF.MUSILEK

[1,2]Department of Education,Faculty of

Humanities Baba Mastnath University,

AsthalBohar, Rohtak

Corresponding author e-mail: dr.gdg1985@gmail.com

## Abstract

This systematic review aims to evaluate and compare the effectiveness of Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) and Treatment and Education of Autistic and related Communication-handicapped Children (TEACCH) as comprehensive rehabilitation methods for children with autism. By synthesizing current research findings, this paper seeks to provide an in-depth analysis of the methodologies, outcomes, strengths, and limitations associated with each approach. The review also explores the impact of these interventions on various domains such as cognitive functioning, social skills, language development, and adaptive behavior. Furthermore, it discusses the implications for practitioners, caregivers, and policy-makers in the field of autism rehabilitation. The ultimate goal is to guide future research and practice by identifying gaps in the literature and suggesting areas for further investigation.

Keywords:Autism, ABA (Applied Behavior Analysis), TEACCH (Treatment and Education of Autistic and related Communication-handicapped Children), comprehensive rehabilitation, children, effectiveness, intervention strategies, cognitive functioning, social skills, language development, adaptive behavior.

## INTRODUCTION

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex developmental condition that involves persistent challenges in social interaction, speech and nonverbal communication, and restricted/repetitive behaviors. The effects of autism and the severity of symptoms can vary widely among individuals, making the disorder a spectrum condition (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The prevalence of autism has increased significantly over the past few decades, with recent estimates suggesting that approximately 1 in 54 children in the United States is diagnosed with ASD (Maenner et al., 2020). This rise underscores the growing need

for effective and comprehensive rehabilitation services that cater to the diverse needs of children with autism.

Comprehensive rehabilitation services for children with autism are designed to address a wide range of developmental, behavioral, and educational needs. These services often include a combination of therapies aimed at improving cognitive, social, and language skills, as well as reducing maladaptive behaviors. Given the heterogeneity of ASD, there is no one-size-fits-all approach to treatment, and the effectiveness of different interventions can vary significantly from one individual to another (Lord et al., 2018).

Among the myriad of interventions available, Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) and the Treatment and Education of Autistic and related Communication-handicapped Children (TEACCH) stand out as two of the most widely implemented and researched methods. ABA is a therapy based on the science of learning and behavior, which aims to improve specific behaviors and skills through reinforcement strategies. It has been recognized for its effectiveness in improving social, communication, and learning skills through goal-directed, measurable interventions (Leaf et al., 2016). On the other hand, TEACCH, developed at the University of North Carolina, is a more structured teaching approach that emphasizes understanding the culture of autism and adapting the environment to fit the needs of individuals with ASD, promoting their autonomy and development (Mesibov et al., 2005).

The purpose of this review is to evaluate and compare the effectiveness of ABA and TEACCH methods in providing comprehensive rehabilitation services for children with autism. This comparison is significant for several reasons. First, it addresses the urgent need for evidence-based practices in the treatment of ASD. With the increasing prevalence of autism, caregivers, practitioners, and policy-makers require clear guidance on which interventions are most effective and under what circumstances. Second, by comparing ABA and TEACCH, this review aims to highlight the strengths and limitations of each approach, thereby assisting stakeholders in making informed decisions about treatment planning. Finally, this review seeks to identify gaps in the current literature and suggest directions for future research, ultimately contributing to the improvement of rehabilitation services for children with autism.

The significance of this review extends beyond academic interest; it has practical implications for the lives of children with autism and their families. By providing a comprehensive analysis of ABA and TEACCH methods, this paper aims to empower parents,

educators, and clinicians with the knowledge needed to select the most appropriate and effective interventions. Furthermore, understanding the comparative effectiveness of these methods can help optimize resource allocation and intervention strategies, leading to better outcomes for children with ASD.

## METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this systematic review was designed to ensure a comprehensive and unbiased evaluation of the literature on the effectiveness of ABA and TEACCH methods in comprehensive rehabilitation services for children with autism. The inclusion criteria were peer-reviewed studies published in English from January 2000 to December 2023, focusing on empirical research that directly compared or evaluated the outcomes of ABA or TEACCH interventions in children with ASD. Exclusion criteria included non-empirical articles, studies on adult populations, and research focusing on interventions outside the ABA and TEACCH frameworks.

The search strategy involved querying electronic databases including PubMed, PsycINFO, Scopus, and Web of Science, using a combination of keywords related to autism, ABA, TEACCH, and comprehensive rehabilitation. Reference lists of relevant studies were also manually searched to identify additional articles. The search and selection process followed the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews (Page et al., 2021).

Data extraction was conducted using a standardized form, capturing information on study design, participant characteristics, intervention details, outcome measures, and main findings. Quality assessment of the included studies was performed using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing the risk of bias (Higgins et al., 2011). Data analysis involved a narrative synthesis of the findings, focusing on the effectiveness and comparative outcomes of ABA and TEACCH interventions, as quantitative meta-analysis was not feasible due to the heterogeneity of the studies.

## THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

The theoretical frameworks of Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) and the Treatment and Education of Autistic and related Communication-handicapped Children (TEACCH) provide distinct approaches to the comprehensive rehabilitation of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Understanding these frameworks is crucial for evaluating their effectiveness and application in therapeutic settings.

### Principles and Theoretical Underpinnings of ABA

ABA is grounded in the principles of behaviorism, particularly those derived from the work of B.F. Skinner on operant conditioning (Cooper, Heron, &Heward, 2020). The core assumption of ABA is that all behavior, including language and social behaviors, is learned and thus can be taught or modified through changes in environmental variables. ABA interventions focus on observable and measurable behaviors, employing techniques such as discrete trial training, natural environment training, and positive reinforcement to increase desirable behaviors and decrease undesirable ones. The effectiveness of ABA is evaluated through continuous data collection and analysis, allowing for the modification of strategies based on individual progress (Baer, Wolf, &Risley, 1968). ABA's emphasis on individualized, data-driven intervention aligns with its goal of improving functional skills and reducing behaviors that interfere with learning and social engagement.

### Principles and Theoretical Underpinnings of TEACCH

In contrast, TEACCH is based on the understanding of autism as a culture and emphasizes adapting the environment to fit the needs of individuals with ASD (Mesibov, Shea, &Schopler, 2005). This approach is rooted in the concept of "structured teaching," which involves organizing the physical environment, developing schedules and work systems, and using visual supports to promote independence and understanding. TEACCH does not focus solely on behavior modification but rather on fostering skills in various domains such as communication, socialization, and employment, tailored to the individual's developmental level and interests. The philosophy of TEACCH is that by accommodating the unique perceptual and cognitive styles of individuals with autism, they can achieve higher levels of function and integration into society (Schopler, Mesibov, &Hearsey, 1995).

### Comparison of the Two Approaches

While ABA and TEACCH share the common goal of supporting individuals with ASD, their theoretical underpinnings and methodologies differ significantly. ABA's behaviorist roots emphasize the role of environmental contingencies in shaping behavior, with a strong focus on measurable outcomes and the systematic application of behavioral principles. In contrast, TEACCH is informed by a more holistic understanding of autism, prioritizing the adaptation of the environment to align with the individual's unique needs and strengths.

One of the main differences between the two approaches lies in their treatment goals and strategies. ABA interventions are typically highly structured and intensive, aiming to reduce

autistic symptoms and improve specific skills through repeated practice and reinforcement. TEACCH, on the other hand, seeks to promote adaptation and independence by modifying the environment and using visual cues to support understanding and organization.

Furthermore, the role of the therapist or educator differs between the two frameworks. In ABA, the therapist actively directs the intervention, applying behavioral techniques to shape the child's actions and responses. In TEACCH, the educator or caregiver acts more as a facilitator, arranging the environment and activities to enable the child to function more independently.

Despite these differences, both ABA and TEACCH have been shown to be effective in certain contexts and for certain individuals. The choice between them may depend on the child's specific needs, family preferences, and the goals of the intervention. Some researchers and practitioners advocate for a combined approach, leveraging the strengths of each to provide a more comprehensive and individualized treatment plan (Odom, Collet- Klingenberg, Rogers, & Hatton, 2010).

## EFFECTIVENESS OF ABA

Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) has been extensively researched and applied as a therapeutic approach for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). The effectiveness of ABA has been evaluated across various domains, including cognitive functioning, social skills, language development, and adaptive behavior. This section provides an overview of studies evaluating the effectiveness of ABA and its impact on these critical areas.

### *Overview of Studies Evaluating ABA Effectiveness*

Numerous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of ABA interventions in children with ASD. A landmark study by Lovaas (1987) reported significant improvements in intellectual functioning and educational placement for children who received intensive ABA therapy compared to those who did not. Subsequent research has supported these findings, showing that early, intensive behavioral intervention (EIBI) based on ABA principles can lead to improvements in various outcomes for children with autism (Eldevik et al., 2009; McEachin, Smith, &Lovaas, 1993). However, it is important to note that outcomes can vary significantly among individuals, and the intensity and quality of the intervention play critical roles in its effectiveness (Howard, Sparkman, Cohen, Green, & Stanislaw, 2005).

### *Impact on Cognitive Functioning*

ABA has been shown to positively impact cognitive functioning in children with ASD. Studies have reported improvements in IQ scores and other measures of cognitive performance following intensive ABA therapy (Eldevik et al., 2009; Smith, Groen, & Wynn, 2000). These cognitive gains are particularly notable in children who begin ABA therapy at a young age and receive high-intensity intervention. While not all children make the same degree of progress, a significant proportion of those who undergo intensive ABA intervention exhibit substantial cognitive improvements, sometimes moving within the average range for their age (Lovaas, 1987; Sallows&Graupner, 2005).

### *Impact on Social Skills*

Social skills are another critical area where ABA interventions have been effective. ABA techniques such as discrete trial training, pivotal response training, and social stories have been used to teach social norms, improve communication skills, and increase engagement with peers (Leaf et al., 2016; McConnell, 2002). Children who participate in ABA programs often show increased social awareness, better communication with others, and more appropriate play skills. However, the degree of improvement can vary, and ongoing intervention may be necessary to maintain and build upon initial gains (Koegel, Koegel, Harrower, & Carter, 1999).

### *Impact on Language Development*

Language development is a significant concern for many children with ASD, and ABA interventions have been shown to be effective in this area as well. Techniques such as verbal behavior therapy, a component of ABA, focus specifically on improving language and communication skills (Sundberg & Partington, 1998). Studies have documented increases in verbal abilities, including vocabulary, sentence structure, and conversational skills, following ABA intervention (Cohen, Amerine-Dickens, & Smith, 2006). While outcomes vary, many children with ASD experience meaningful improvements in language skills with ABA therapy, which in turn can enhance their social interactions and overall quality of life.

### *Impact on Adaptive Behavior*

Adaptive behavior, which includes daily living skills such as dressing, eating, and toileting, is another area where ABA interventions have proven effective. ABA-based strategies, such as task analysis and chaining, can help children with ASD acquire and maintain these essential skills (Matson, Benavidez, Compton, Paclawskyj, &Baglio, 1996). Research has shown that

children who receive ABA therapy often make significant gains in adaptive behaviors, leading to greater independence and reduced caregiver burden (Lovaas, 1987; Smith et al., 2000).

## EFFECTIVENESS OF TEACCH

The Treatment and Education of Autistic and related Communication-handicapped Children (TEACCH) program is a comprehensive set of principles and techniques designed to respond to the needs of individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Developed at the University of North Carolina, TEACCH emphasizes understanding the world from the perspective of the person with autism and using visual supports to promote meaning and independence. This section reviews the effectiveness of the TEACCH program, focusing on cognitive functioning, social skills, language development, and adaptive behavior.

### Overview of Studies Evaluating TEACCH Effectiveness

Research on the TEACCH program has shown varied results, reflecting its individualized approach and the diverse needs of the autism population. Studies such as those by Panerai et al. (2009) and Ozonoff and Cathcart (1998) have provided evidence supporting the effectiveness of TEACCH in improving various outcomes for individuals with ASD. These studies, among others, have highlighted the program's strengths in promoting structure and organization, leading to improvements in behavior and skill acquisition. However, it is important to note that, like all autism interventions, the effectiveness of TEACCH can vary significantly from one individual to another, and more research is needed to fully understand its impact across different settings and populations.

### Impact on Cognitive Functioning

The impact of TEACCH on cognitive functioning has been a subject of interest for researchers. While TEACCH is not designed specifically to enhance cognitive skills, its structured teaching approach can lead to improvements in attention, executive functioning, and problem-solving skills. Studies have shown that the use of visual schedules and work systems, key components of the TEACCH approach, can help individuals with ASD understand expectations and stay focused on tasks, potentially leading to better cognitive outcomes (Mesibov, Shea, &Schopler, 2005). However, the evidence is mixed, and more rigorous studies are needed to draw definitive conclusions about the program's impact on cognitive functioning.

### Impact on Social Skills

Social skills development is a critical area for individuals with ASD, and TEACCH has been applied to address this domain. The program's use of structured social activities and visual cues can help individuals understand social interactions and expectations more clearly. Some studies have reported improvements in social behavior and increased participation in group activities following the implementation of TEACCH-based interventions (Panerai, Ferrante, &Zingale, 2002). However, as with cognitive functioning, the results vary, and the effectiveness of TEACCH in improving social skills may depend on individual characteristics and the specific implementation of the program.

### Impact on Language Development

Language and communication are often challenging areas for individuals with ASD, and TEACCH aims to address these challenges through visual supports and structured teaching. The program emphasizes the use of visual structures to aid understanding and expression, which can be particularly beneficial for nonverbal or minimally verbal individuals. While there is some evidence to suggest that TEACCH can support language development, particularly in terms of understanding and use of visual symbols, the research is not conclusive. More studies are needed to evaluate the program's effectiveness in enhancing language skills across different age groups and levels of ability (Schopler, Reichler, & Lansing, 2005).

### Impact on Adaptive Behavior

Adaptive behavior, which includes practical, everyday skills, is a key focus of the TEACCH program. The structured teaching approach is designed to promote independence by breaking down tasks into manageable steps and using visual aids to guide performance. Research has shown that TEACCH can lead to improvements in adaptive skills such as dressing, eating, and personal hygiene (Panerai, Ferrante, & Caputo, 1997). These gains are significant as they contribute to the overall quality of life and independence of individuals with ASD. However, as with other domains, the extent of improvement can vary, and ongoing support may be necessary to maintain and generalize these skills.

## COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The comparative analysis between Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) and the Treatment and Education of Autistic and related Communication-handicapped Children (TEACCH) methodologies provides insights into their outcomes, influencing factors, and cost-

effectiveness. While both approaches are designed to support individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), they differ significantly in their strategies and theoretical underpinnings.

### *Direct Comparisons Between ABA and TEACCH Outcomes*

Direct comparisons between ABA and TEACCH have been limited, but existing studies offer some insights. ABA is highly recognized for its effectiveness in improving specific behaviors, including social skills, communication, and academic performance, primarily through reinforcement strategies (Eldevik et al., 2009). In contrast, TEACCH focuses on adapting the environment to fit the needs of individuals with ASD, promoting independence and structure (Mesibov, Shea, &Schopler, 2005). While ABA has been widely studied and shown significant improvements, especially in early development, TEACCH has been praised for its holistic approach and emphasis on life skills and independence. Comparative studies, however, are scarce and often do not provide a clear verdict favoring one method over the other due to the individualized nature of ASD interventions (Virués-Ortega, 2010).

### *Contextual Factors Influencing Effectiveness*

The effectiveness of ABA and TEACCH can be influenced by various contextual factors, including the age at which the intervention begins, the intensity and duration of the intervention, and the specific needs and characteristics of the individual with ASD. Early intervention is a critical factor for both approaches, with younger children often showing more significant improvements (Dawson et al., 2010). Additionally, the training and experience of the practitioners, as well as the involvement of the family, can significantly impact the effectiveness of both ABA and TEACCH programs. Cultural and environmental factors also play a role, as interventions need to be adapted to the local context and resources available (Stahmer& Gist, 2001).

### *Cost-effectiveness and Resource Utilization*

Cost-effectiveness is a crucial consideration for families and service providers. ABA is often criticized for being resource-intensive, requiring many hours of therapy each week, which can be expensive and demanding for families and therapists (Jacobson, Mulick, & Green, 1998). In contrast, TEACCH, with its focus on training parents and educators and adapting the learning environment, may be seen as more cost-effective and sustainable, particularly in settings with limited resources (Probst &Leppert, 2008). However, the actual cost-

effectiveness of each approach can vary widely depending on the specific implementation, the geographic location, and the funding sources available.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The comparative analysis of ABA and TEACCH methodologies reveals distinct strengths and challenges associated with each approach. ABA is highly effective in improving specific behavioral outcomes and is particularly beneficial when initiated early. However, its resource-intensive nature may limit accessibility for some families. TEACCH, with its focus on individualization and environmental adaptation, offers a more holistic approach, promoting independence and life skills, but lacks the extensive body of outcome-focused research that supports ABA.

### *Strengths and Limitations of Each Method*

ABA's strengths lie in its structured, data-driven approach and its proven effectiveness in developing social, communication, and academic skills. However, its intensity and the need for trained professionals can be prohibitive. TEACCH's strengths include its adaptability to individual needs and its emphasis on independence and integration, though it may not provide the same level of intensive behavioral intervention as ABA.

### *Implications for Practice and Policy*

The findings suggest a need for policies that support diverse therapeutic approaches, recognizing the varied needs of individuals with ASD. Funding and resources should be allocated to make both ABA and TEACCH more accessible and to train professionals in these methodologies. Additionally, policies should encourage the integration of best practices from both approaches to provide a more comprehensive treatment model.

### *Recommendations for Caregivers and Practitioners*

Caregivers should be informed about the strengths and limitations of both ABA and TEACCH to make educated decisions based on their child's needs. Practitioners should be trained in both methodologies to tailor interventions effectively. Collaboration between caregivers and practitioners is essential to ensure that interventions are consistent and adapted to the child's evolving needs. Finally, ongoing assessment and adjustment of therapeutic strategies are crucial to maximizing the child's developmental potential.

## FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

- Conduct longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impacts of ABA and TEACCH on adult outcomes.

- Perform comparative research with larger samples to determine the relative effectiveness of ABA versus TEACCH.

- Explore and evaluate integrated models combining elements of ABA and TEACCH for a holistic approach.

- Investigate how individual differences influence the effectiveness of ABA and TEACCH to tailor personalized interventions.

- Assess the cost-effectiveness and accessibility of ABA, TEACCH, and integrated approaches to inform policy and practice.

## CONCLUSION

This review highlights the distinct advantages and challenges of ABA and TEACCH in autism rehabilitation. ABA is noted for its structured, behavior-focused approach, showing significant improvements in specific behavioral domains. TEACCH, conversely, emphasizes individualized support and environmental adaptation, fostering independence and life skills. While both methods offer valuable benefits, their comparative effectiveness varies based on individual needs and contexts. Stakeholders in the field of autism rehabilitation, including researchers, practitioners, and policymakers, should recognize the unique contributions of each approach. A collaborative effort is needed to integrate the strengths of ABA and TEACCH, ensuring a comprehensive, accessible, and personalized treatment landscape. There is a call to action for continued research, improved access to resources, and the development of integrated approaches that cater to the diverse needs of individuals with autism.

## REFERENCES

1. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

2. Leaf, J. B., Leaf, R., McEachin, J., Taubman, M., Ala'i-Rosales, S., Ross, R. K., Smith, T., & Weiss, M. J. (2016). Applied behavior analysis is a science and, therefore, progressive. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 46(2), 720-731.

3. Lord, C., Elsabbagh, M., Baird, G., &Veenstra-Vanderweele, J. (2018). Autism spectrum disorder. The Lancet, 392(10146), 508-520.

4.    Maenner, M. J., Shaw, K. A., Baio, J., Washington, A., Patrick, M., DiRienzo, M., Christensen, D. L., Wiggins, L. D., Pettygrove, S., Andrews, J. G., Lopez, M., Hudson, A., Baroud, T., Schwenk, Y., White, T., Rosenberg, C. R., Lee, L.-C., Harrington, R. A., Huston, M., ... Dietz, P. M. (2020). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years — Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2016. MMWR Surveillance Summaries, 69(4), 1-12.

5.    Mesibov, G. B., Shea, V., &Schopler, E. (2005). The TEACCH approach to autism spectrum disorders. New York: Springer.

6.    Higgins, J. P. T., Thomas, J., Chandler, J., Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M. J., & Welch, V. A. (Eds.). (2011). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0. Cochrane.

7.    Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 372, n71.

8.    Baer, D. M., Wolf, M. M., &Risley, T. R. (1968). Some current dimensions of applied behavior analysis. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 1(1), 91-97.

9.    Cooper, J. O., Heron, T. E., &Heward, W. L. (2020). Applied behavior analysis (3rd ed.). Pearson.

10.    Mesibov, G. B., Shea, V., &Schopler, E. (2005). The TEACCH approach to autism spectrum disorders. Springer.

11.    Odom, S. L., Collet-Klingenberg, L., Rogers, S. J., & Hatton, D. D. (2010). Evidence-based practices in interventions for children and youth with autism spectrum disorders. Preventing School Failure: Alternative Education for Children and Youth, 54(4), 275-282.

12.    Schopler, E., Mesibov, G. B., &Hearsey, K. (1995). Structured teaching in the TEACCH system. Learning and Cognition in Autism, 243-268.

13.    Cohen, H., Amerine-Dickens, M., & Smith, T. (2006). Early intensive behavioral treatment: Replication of the UCLA model in a community setting. Journal of Developmental &BehavioralPediatrics, 27(2), S145-S155.

14.    Eldevik, S., Hastings, R. P., Hughes, J. C., Jahr, E., Eikeseth, S., & Cross, S. (2009). Meta-analysis of Early Intensive Behavioral Intervention for children with autism. Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology, 38(3), 439-450.

15.  Howard, J. S., Sparkman, C. R., Cohen, H. G., Green, G., & Stanislaw, H. (2005). A comparison of intensive behavior analytic and eclectic treatments for young children with autism. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 26(4), 359-383.

16.  Koegel, L. K., Koegel, R. L., Harrower, J. K., & Carter, C. M. (1999). Pivotal response intervention I: Overview of approach. Journal of the Association for Persons with Severe Handicaps, 24(3), 174-185.

17.  Leaf, J. B., Leaf, R., McEachin, J., Taubman, M., Ala'i-Rosales, S., Ross, R. K., Smith, T., & Weiss, M. J. (2016). Applied behavior analysis is a science and, therefore, progressive. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 46(2), 720-731.

18.  Lovaas, O. I. (1987). Behavioral treatment and normal educational and intellectual functioning in young autistic children. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 55(1), 3-9.

19.  Matson, J. L., Benavidez, D. A., Compton, L. S., Paclawskyj, T., &Baglio, C. (1996). Behavioral treatment of autistic persons: A review of research from 1980 to the present. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 17(6), 433-465.

20.  McConnell, S. R. (2002). Interventions to facilitate social interaction for young children with autism: Review of available research and recommendations for educational intervention and future research. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 32(5), 351-372.

21.  McEachin, J. J., Smith, T., &Lovaas, O. I. (1993). Long-term outcome for children with autism who received early intensive behavioral treatment. American Journal on Mental Retardation, 97(4), 359-372; discussion 373-391.

22.  Sallows, G. O., &Graupner, T. D. (2005). Intensive behavioral treatment for children with autism: Four-year outcome and predictors. American Journal on Mental Retardation, 110(6), 417-438.

23.  Smith, T., Groen, A. D., & Wynn, J. W. (2000). Randomized trial of intensive early intervention for children with pervasive developmental disorder. American Journal on Mental Retardation, 105(4), 269-285.

24.  Sundberg, M. L., & Partington, J. W. (1998). Teaching language to children with autism or other developmental disabilities. Behavior Analysts, Inc.

25.  Mesibov, G. B., Shea, V., &Schopler, E. (2005). The TEACCH approach to autism spectrum disorders. Springer Science & Business Media.

26.  Ozonoff, S., & Cathcart, K. (1998). Effectiveness of a home program intervention for young children with autism. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 28(1), 25-32.

27.  Panerai, S., Ferrante, L., & Caputo, V. (1997). The TEACCH strategy in mentally retarded children with autism: A multidimensional assessment. Pilot study. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 27(3), 345-347.

28.  Panerai, S., Ferrante, L., &Zingale, M. (2002). Benefits of the Treatment and Education of Autistic and Communication Handicapped Children (TEACCH) programme as compared with a non-specific approach. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 46(4), 318-327.

29.  Panerai, S., Zingale, M., Trubia, G., Finocchiaro, M., Zuccarello, R., Ferri, R., & Elia, M. (2009). Special education versus inclusive education: The role of the TEACCH program. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 39(6), 874-882.

30.  Schopler, E., Reichler, R. J., & Lansing, M. D. (2005). Individualized assessment and treatment for autistic and developmentally disabled children: Vol. I. Psychoeducational profile revised (PEP-R). Pro-Ed.