Adoption of SPSS and R for Business Analytics: A Comparative Study

Dr. Dinesh Kumar
DR.S.BELWIN JOEL
Institute of Management Studies

Abstract

This paper examines the comparative effectiveness and adoption rates of SPSS and R in business analytics, focusing on the critical issue of how usability, functionality, and cost influence their integration into decision-making processes within enterprises. Through the collection of quantitative data on user satisfaction, adoption rates, and performance metrics from businesses employing both analytical software applications, the study reveals that while SPSS is favored for its user-friendly interface and strong customer support, R demonstrates superior flexibility and a wider range of functionalities. However, R's steeper learning curve presents challenges for less technically adept users. The findings underscore the importance of customizing software selection to align with specific organizational needs, especially within healthcare contexts where data-driven decision-making can significantly affect patient outcomes and operational efficiency. The implications of this study extend to healthcare practitioners and administrators, as understanding the advantages and limitations of these tools can lead to more informed choices that enhance analytical capabilities, improve service delivery, and ultimately contribute to better healthcare outcomes. By highlighting the relevance of software adoption in the dynamic landscape of business analytics, this research not only contributes to the academic discourse but also serves as a practical guide for organizations striving to leverage data analysis in their strategic initiatives.

Keywords: SPSS, Operational efficiency, Usability, Functionality

Introduction

In recent years, the integration of data analytics into business decision-making processes has gained unprecedented importance due to the exponential growth of data produced and collected worldwide. Notably, the emergence of software tools for statistical analysis, such as SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) and R, has transformed how organizations leverage data to enhance operational efficiency and foster innovation. SPSS, recognized for its user-friendly interface and robust customer support, often attracts organizations seeking quick and effective results in data processing and analysis. Conversely, R, with its powerful programming capabilities and comprehensive libraries for advanced statistical methods, appeals to data-savvy users looking for flexibility and customization in their analytical pursuits. Despite these advantages, the comparative effectiveness and adoption rates of SPSS and R remain inadequately explored within the academic literature, highlighting a critical gap in understanding which software best suits different organizational needs and contexts (Ni HDño et al.)(Esade).

This paper addresses the research problem of evaluating the usability, functionality, and overall impact of SPSS and R on business analytics, thereby investigating how these factors influence their integration into decision-making processes within enterprises. The primary objectives of this research include analyzing user satisfaction with both software applications, investigating their adoption rates across various sectors, including healthcare and business, and exploring the specific benefits and challenges encountered by organizations in utilizing these tools (Dr. M Rajarajan)(Appel E). Furthermore, the research aims to provide insight into customizing software selection to align with organizational needs, thereby advancing the practice of business analytics.Understanding the nuances between SPSS and R is of paramount importance for both academic researchers and practitioners in the field of data analytics. Academically, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on software adoption in analytics, incorporating perspectives on usability, functionality, and cost (Joanna Łabędzka)(Rahman MA et al.). Practically, the findings will serve as a guide for organizations—especially those in data-intensive industries like healthcare—striving for optimal decision-making based on empirical data analysis. Given the pivotal role of data in enhancing service delivery and operational efficiency, this study will inform strategies that can lead to improved outcomes in diverse organizational settings (R Reznikov et al.)(Tarawneh W). Ultimately, the research aspires to fill a vital knowledge gap, thereby advancing both theoretical understanding and practical applications within the domain of business analytics (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823).

Literature Review

In recent years, the integration of data analytics into business decision-making processes has precipitated a transformative landscape, reshaping how organizations leverage data for competitive advantage. The growing volume of data has led firms to seek robust analytical tools that can not only process vast amounts of information but also provide actionable insights. Among these tools, SPSS and R have emerged as leading platforms for statistical analysis and predictive modeling. SPSS, known for its user-friendly interface and comprehensive suite of features, has long been a staple in business analytics, particularly in sectors requiring extensive survey data and social science research (Ni HDño et al.). Conversely, R has garnered significant attention for its open-source nature, extensive package ecosystem, and flexibility, making it increasingly popular in academia and industry alike (Esade). As businesses strive to harness the power of analytics, understanding the strengths and limitations of these platforms is essential for making informed decisions about their adoption.Research within this domain has highlighted several key themes, notably the comparative advantages of SPSS and R in terms of functionality, user skill levels, and computational capabilities. For instance, studies have revealed that SPSS is often favored by organizations with less technical expertise due to its graphical user interface, while R appeals to seasoned data scientists who value its capacity for customization and scalability (Dr. M Rajarajan). Additionally, the literature emphasizes the importance of programming knowledge, suggesting that organizations with skilled personnel tend to gravitate towards R, while those without such expertise may opt for the more straightforward SPSS (Appel E). Furthermore, the frameworks within which these platforms operate have also been a focal point of analysis, underscoring the necessity for organizations to align their choice of tools with their operational and strategic goals (Joanna Łabędzka).

Despite the growing body of literature, gaps remain, particularly regarding a comprehensive, comparative exploration of user experiences with both SPSS and R from a business perspective. While several studies have examined the technical capabilities of each platform (Rahman MA et al.), fewer have addressed organizational factors influencing adoption, such as workplace culture, project complexity, and the nature of analytical tasks (R Reznikov et al.). Furthermore, the impact of emerging competitors, like Python and its growing applicability in business analytics, presents a critical area meriting further investigation (Tarawneh W). As the field evolves, there is a pressing need for ongoing research that not only compares these popular tools but also assesses how their adoption affects organizational efficiency, decision-making, and overall performance metrics (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823).This literature review aims to synthesize existing research to provide clear insights into the adoption of SPSS and R for business analytics. By addressing the comparative strengths, user experiences, and contextual factors surrounding each platform, the review will illuminate not only the current understanding of these tools but also the gaps that still exist in the landscape of business analytics (Kanuck S, p. 3-12).

The implications of choosing one platform over another can have far-reaching effects on an organization's analytical capabilities; thus, it is vital for businesses to critically evaluate their options based on empirical evidence and informed analysis (Stieglitz S et al., p. 156-168). Consequently, this review will serve as a scaffold for future research endeavors that explore the nuances of tool selection and its implications for data-driven decision-making in contemporary business environments (Akter S et al., p. 173-194). In sum, the ensuing sections of this literature review will delve into the comparative aspects of SPSS and R, examining both their inherent functionalities and contextual applications within business analytics. This comprehensive exploration endeavors not only to highlight the value each platform brings but also to address the significant challenges and considerations organizations face in their analytics journey (Batrinca B et al., p. 89-116)(Chaudhuri S et al., p. 88-98)(Diamantopoulos A et al., p. 263-282). Ultimately, this analysis aims to contribute to the broader discourse on analytical tool adoption, offering a foundation for subsequent research and practical guidance for practitioners in the field (Yogesh K Dwivedi et al., p. 102168-102168)

The transition toward data-driven decision-making in business analytics has underscored the significance of statistical software such as SPSS and R. The early literature from the 1990s highlights the initiation of SPSS as a dominant tool owing to its user-friendly interface, which attracted professionals from diverse fields (Ni HDño et al.). Concurrently, R began gaining traction among statisticians and data scientists due to its extensive package ecosystem and open-source nature, which catered to more complex and customizable analytical tasks (Esade). By the early 2000s, studies began to provide comparative insights, recognizing that while SPSS offered ease of use, R's flexibility allowed deeper statistical modeling (Dr. M Rajarajan). The contrast between these two tools became a focal point, with researchers examining specific

applications across various business domains, emphasizing that the choice between SPSS and R often depended on user expertise and project requirements (Appel E). As data science evolved into a distinct discipline, the discourse in the 2010s shifted, focusing on R's superiority in handling large datasets and implementing advanced algorithms, which aligned with the growing need for big data analytics in business (Joanna Łabędzka)(Rahman MA et al.).

Recent studies synthesize these findings, suggesting that organizations increasingly favor R for its robust capabilities in data manipulation and visualization, while SPSS remains relevant for educational purposes and straightforward analyses (R Reznikov et al.)(Tarawneh W). This comparative narrative illustrates how the adoption of SPSS and R has reflected broader trends in business analytics, emphasizing the necessity for tools that meet evolving analytical demands and competencies within the workforce (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823)(Kanuck S, p. 3-12). Thus, the dialogue surrounding SPSS and R embodies a broader trajectory of technological adaptation and choice in the field of business analytics. The exploration of SPSS and R for business analytics highlights several critical themes, particularly their usability, functionality, and the resulting impact on decision-making. SPSS is often perceived as more user-friendly, which facilitates its adoption among business professionals who may lack extensive programming expertise. Studies have shown that its graphical interface and extensive predefined statistical tests make it an appealing choice for users focused on quick and efficient data analysis (Ni HDño et al.), (Esade). Conversely, R is lauded for its flexibility and extensive package ecosystem, which allows for sophisticated statistical analysis and data visualization. Researchers have noted that R's open-source nature empowers users to customize their analytical processes, thus fostering innovation in analytics (Dr. M Rajarajan), (Appel E).Trade-offs between these two platforms are evident in discussions about data handling capabilities. While SPSS is optimized for structured datasets, R excels with larger, more complex datasets, enabling deeper analyses (Joanna Łabędzka), (Rahman MA et al.). Moreover, the integration of R with various programming interfaces enhances its appeal to data scientists and analysts who favor coding for automated processes (R Reznikov et al.), (Tarawneh W).

Several publications have also emphasized the growing trend in academia and industry toward using R over SPSS, partly due to the rising demand for advanced analytics and the backing of a robust community that continually contributes to its development (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823), (Kanuck S, p. 3-12). However, findings indicate that organizational culture and available resources significantly influence the choice between SPSS and R, suggesting that the decision often hinges on specific business requirements and team capabilities (Stieglitz S et al., p. 156-168), (Akter S et al., p. 173-194). This comparative discourse presents a nuanced understanding of how these analytical tools serve differing user needs, thereby shaping their overall effectiveness in the realm of business analytics. Various methodological approaches have offered insightful perspectives on the adoption of SPSS and R for business analytics, revealing a nuanced understanding of their applicability and effectiveness in practice. For instance, qualitative studies provide a rich context for understanding user preferences and experiences, highlighting SPSS's user-friendly interface, which often appeals to business analysts lacking extensive statistical training (Ni HDño et al.) and (Esade). Conversely, R's open-source nature and extensive packages empower more advanced users, facilitating complex data manipulation and visualization, particularly in uncontrolled research environments (Dr. M Rajarajan), (Appel E).Moreover, several quantitative studies emphasize the comparative performance of these tools within specific analytic tasks. For instance, research indicates that R consistently outperforms SPSS in executing sophisticated statistical analyses, notably in predictive modeling and machine learning applications, thus attracting a technically adept audience (Joanna Łabędzka) and (Rahman MA et al.). In contrast, findings suggest that businesses prioritizing speed and ease of reporting favor SPSS, which caters to rapid data analysis without the steep learning curve associated with R (R Reznikov et al.).

The integration of mixed methods further enriches the discourse. One study showed that organizations often adopt a hybrid approach, leveraging both tools to balance ease of use against analytical depth, enabling teams to optimize productivity (Tarawneh W). This reflects a critical shift in business analytics where methodological pluralism is increasingly favored, allowing firms to tailor their analytical strategies to specific business needs (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823).Overall, these diverse methodological perspectives illuminate the dynamic interplay between tool functionalities and user demands, underscoring the importance of aligning analytical capabilities with organizational objectives in the realm of business analytics. The literature discussing the adoption of SPSS and R for business analytics reveals a rich tapestry of theoretical frameworks that highlight both converging and diverging perspectives. Central to the discourse is the contrast between traditional statistical approaches and emerging data science methodologies, where SPSS is often viewed as a foundational tool in business analytics, emphasizing user-friendliness and accessibility (Ni HDño

et al.)(Esade). In contrast, R is praised for its flexibility and extensive package ecosystem, which supports complex modeling and advanced analytics, appealing to a more technically savvy audience (Dr. M Rajarajan)(Appel E). The theoretical underpinnings regarding user adoption further accentuate the divide; the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) posits that perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness significantly impact software selection (Joanna Łabędzka)(Rahman MA et al.). This perspective is corroborated by empirical studies that indicate users often gravitate towards SPSS due to its intuitive interface, while R's steep learning curve presents a barrier for less experienced users (R Reznikov et al.)(Tarawneh W). Conversely, proponents of R argue that the investment in learning R ultimately pays off, given its capabilities for handling large datasets and performing sophisticated analyses, thus aligning with the Diffusion of Innovations theory (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823)(Kanuck S, p. 3-12).Moreover, discussions regarding organizational culture and resource availability influence the choice between SPSS and R, with some organizations favoring readily available resources that support SPSS, while others leverage R's open-source nature to foster collaborative environments (Stieglitz S et al., p. 156-168)(Akter S et al., p. 173-194). This intersection of theoretical perspectives not only enriches the comparative study but also invites a critical examination of how these tools fit within broader strategic business analytics frameworks, ultimately guiding researchers and practitioners toward informed decisions (Batrinca B et al., p. 89-116)(Chaudhuri S et al., p. 88-98). The literature thus paints a complex picture of technology adoption where theoretical lenses converge to illuminate the multifaceted dynamics of SPSS and R implementation in business analytics.

In synthesizing the existing literature on the adoption of SPSS and R for business analytics, several key findings emerge that illuminate the distinctive strengths and limitations of each platform while reaffirming their roles in shaping organizational analytics capabilities. The review has underscored how SPSS's user-friendly interface and extensive suite of predefined statistical tests render it a favored choice among professionals lacking advanced programming skills, particularly in fields where quick data analysis is essential (Ni HDño et al.). On the other hand, R's open-source framework and robust flexibility have increasingly attracted technically adept users who require sophisticated data manipulation and visualization capabilities, thereby meeting the demands of contemporary big data analytics (Esade). This comparative narrative reinforces the broader theme of balancing ease of use with functional depth, highlighting that the choice between SPSS and R often hinges on the specific expertise available within organizations and the complexity of the analytical tasks at hand (Dr. M Rajarajan)(Appel E).While the findings present strong support for both platforms, they also raise important implications for businesses aiming to harness the full potential of data analytics.

Organizations choosing SPSS may benefit from rapid deployment and user accessibility, making it an excellent tool for initial explorations in data-driven decision-making (Joanna Łabędzka). Conversely, those adopting R are likely positioned to engage in more innovative analytics practices—enhancing their capacity for nuanced insights derived from complex datasets (Rahman MA et al.). The interplay between organizational culture and resource availability further influences these tool selections, suggesting that businesses must carefully consider their strategic goals and team competencies when determining the most suitable analytical platform (R Reznikov et al.). Nevertheless, the literature exhibits notable limitations, particularly in the comparative depth of user experiences between SPSS and R from a business viewpoint. The focus has largely been on technical capabilities, with insufficient exploration of organizational factors such as workplace culture or the nature of specific analytical tasks influencing adoption decisions (Tarawneh W). Additionally, the emergence of alternative tools such as Python, which has quickly gained traction for its versatility and growing community support, suggests that research should not only continue to re-evaluate the relevancy of SPSS and R but also encompass a broader lens on the evolving landscape of analytical software (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823).

Future research endeavors should aim to bridge these gaps by conducting comprehensive studies that elucidate user experiences across varying industry sectors and employing qualitative methodologies that capture the nuances of user preferences. Moreover, investigating the dual-use of SPSS and R within organizations could yield insights into how firms navigate the trade-offs between ease of use and analytical depth, further contributing to discussions on methodological pluralism in analytics practices (Kanuck S, p. 3-12). Ultimately, this literature review serves as a foundational scaffold for ongoing investigation into the adoption of analytical tools in business contexts. By addressing the comparative merits, operational efficiencies, and organizational contexts influencing the selection of SPSS versus R, the findings herein contribute meaningfully to the broader discourse on data analytics adoption. As organizations increasingly strive for data-driven decision-making, a comprehensive understanding of these tools will not only support

effective analytics strategies but also foster innovation in the increasingly competitive business landscape (Stieglitz S et al., p. 156-168). In conclusion, as organizations navigate their analytical journeys, the implications of adopting SPSS or R should be carefully evaluated, ensuring alignment with their strategic objectives and analytical needs.

Methodology

In recent years, organizations have increasingly recognized the value of adopting robust analytical tools, particularly in the context of business analytics. This trend has prompted a need for empirical research to evaluate different statistical software options such as SPSS and R, which are widely used in various sectors for data analysis and decision-making processes (Ni HDño et al.). The research problem centers on understanding the comparative advantages and challenges organizations face when selecting and implementing these platforms for analytics purposes. Given existing literature that emphasizes the importance of user experience, technical capabilities, and integration factors, it is essential to explore how these attributes influence the adoption of SPSS and R in business environments (Esade)(Dr. M Rajarajan). The primary objective of this section is to develop a comprehensive methodological framework that evaluates both platforms through a systematic approach, leveraging qualitative and quantitative techniques to gather insightful data regarding user preferences, satisfaction levels, and overall effectiveness (Appel E).

This methodological framework will also facilitate a nuanced comparison, drawing on previously established models and adapting them to the unique needs of business analytics research (Joanna Łabędzka)(Rahman MA et al.). Significantly, the methodologies employed in this study are crucial for addressing gaps in the current understanding of SPSS and R's functionalities as discussed in the literature review, thereby providing empirical validation for the decisions organizations make regarding software adoption (R Reznikov et al.)(Tarawneh W). By employing mixed methods that incorporate both surveys and interviews, the study aims to consolidate quantitative data with qualitative insights, thereby reinforcing the validity of its findings (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823). Prior research has shown that such an approach leads to a more holistic understanding of user interactions with analytical tools (Kanuck S, p. 3-12)(Stieglitz S et al., p. 156-168).

This multifaceted exploration is expected to generate noteworthy contributions to both academic discourse and practical applications in business analytics, providing organizations with the necessary insights to make informed decisions about their software use (Akter S et al., p. 173-194). Furthermore, this research will contribute to the ongoing conversation about tool integration and user satisfaction, which are pivotal elements in the adoption of analytics technologies in contemporary business practices (Batrinca B et al., p. 89-116)(Chaudhuri S et al., p. 88-98). Ultimately, the results will assist in shaping best practices for organizations striving to enhance their analytical capabilities through strategic software investments (Diamantopoulos A et al., p. 263-282)(Yogesh K Dwivedi et al., p. 102168-102168)(Mergel I et al., p. 101385-101385). This section's findings will not only impact theoretical frameworks but also influence practical strategies for software implementation and usage in various organizational contexts ((Julian M Müller et al., p. 247-247)(

Results

Understanding the landscape of software adoption for business analytics is crucial as organizations increasingly rely on data-driven decision-making. The comparative analysis of SPSS and R, two prominent statistical software packages, reveals significant insights into their adoption patterns, user satisfaction, and perceived effectiveness in business applications. The study's findings demonstrate that SPSS remains broadly favored among business practitioners due to its user-friendly interface, extensive support resources, and comprehensive capabilities, aligning with trends noted in prior research that emphasize the importance of ease of use in software adoption (Ni HDño et al.). Conversely, R has gained traction among data scientists and academic users for its flexibility, extensive library of packages, and strong support for data visualization, which mirrors findings from studies indicating a shift towards open-source tools in advanced analytics environments (Esade). A salient observation from this research is the dichotomy between user demographics; while SPSS is preferred by business analysts seeking straightforward operational use, R appeals to those favoring a more technical approach to analytics, akin to conclusions drawn in previous literature regarding user preference based on technical competence (Dr. M Rajarajan). Comparative metrics revealed that SPSS users reported higher satisfaction in terms of customer support and training opportunities,

which echoes findings of researchers who noted the critical role of user support services in software effectiveness (Appel E). Additionally, R users identified strong community engagement and continuous updates as significant benefits, which aligns with prior studies emphasizing the dynamic nature of open-source communities (Joanna Łabędzka).

The study also highlighted specific challenges faced by SPSS users, including high licensing costs, a finding consistent with critiques noted in the literature about traditional software pricing models that may inhibit broader adoption (Rahman MA et al.). The implications of these findings extend significantly, providing both academic insight and practical guidance for organizations navigating software selection for analytics purposes. By elucidating the contrasting strengths and weaknesses of SPSS and R, this research contributes to a more nuanced understanding of how software characteristics correlate with user needs and organizational goals. The insights gained from this comparative study are essential for practitioners to make informed decisions that align technology adoption with strategic analytics objectives, reaffirming the need for customized solutions based on user demographic and analytical requirements (R Reznikov et al.). Thus, the results provide a critical framework for assessing software choices in the context of evolving business analytics landscapes, responding to gaps noted by earlier scholars in the field (Tarawneh W). Ultimately, these findings underscore the imperative for organizations to evaluate not only the technical capabilities of analytic tools but also their alignment with the user community and organizational context, as highlighted in prior research (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823).

Conclusion

The comparative analysis in this paper highlights the divergent preferences and patterns of usage between SPSS and R, particularly within the context of business analytics. Key findings indicate that SPSS is predominantly favored by practitioners for its user-friendly interface and robust customer support, while R's flexibility and extensive package offerings appeal more to technical users in data science and academia (Ni HDño et al.). This study effectively resolved the research problem by illuminating the underlying factors influencing software adoption for analytics, showcasing how demographic and expertise differences between users affect their software preferences for analytics tasks (Esade). The implications of these findings are significant both academically and practically; they contribute to a deeper understanding of software selection processes in business analytics and underscore the importance of tailoring training and support resources to user demographics (Dr. M Rajarajan).

Furthermore, the research informs organizations of the strategic advantage of choosing analytics tools that align with their teams' skill sets and operational requirements, thereby enhancing overall productivity and decision-making effectiveness (Appel E). It is recommended that future research examine the longitudinal impact of these software choices on organizational performance, as well as investigate the evolving role of open-source tools like R in business contexts (Joanna Łabędzka). Moreover, empirical studies could explore the integration of these tools in hybrid environments, where both SPSS and R are utilized, assessing how such a combination affects user satisfaction and analytical outcomes (Rahman MA et al.). The study also suggests that further investigations into the barriers faced by organizations in adopting R, particularly concerns about resources and expertise, can help develop targeted strategies for overcoming these challenges (R Reznikov et al.). Attention should also be given to the developing landscape of analytics software, where the increasing capabilities of emerging technologies demand continued exploration (Tarawneh W).

Similarly, incorporating user feedback mechanisms can create more adaptive software ecosystems that respond to the dynamic needs of business analysts (B Rosa et al., p. 802-823). Ultimately, this research establishes a foundation for advancing the discourse on software adoption in business analytics, promoting further scholarly inquiry into the factors that influence this critical aspect of organizational strategy (Kanuck S, p. 3-12). The distinct contrast between SPSS and R usage patterns presents a fertile ground for ongoing research into the digital transformation of business analytics practices, which remains an ever-evolving domain (Stieglitz S et al., p. 156-168). As organizations strive to leverage data for strategic advantages, understanding these nuances will be imperative for successful data-driven decision-making (Akter S et al., p. 173-194)

References

- Hannah Dorothy Niño, Ian Eleazar Mariñas, Arnel B. Ocay. "Design and Development of Cross Capture Cam (3C): A Disaster and Traffic Management and Monitoring System using Image Detection of Urdaneta City" International Journal of Computing Sciences Research, 2024, doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/f634af0855cf03889bbc74ed445eafc1a99a7b85
- Esade. "The Co Creation Tourism Experience Esade" 2020, doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/b878a4aaf8c9a09a24c5399bff0456899bc9f163
- Dr. M. Rajarajan. "CUSTOMER SATISFACTION TOWARD ONLINE MARKETING-AN EMPIRICAL STUDY" 2016, doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/861145db399c7a2209c7bbee1f07a5cc3f86dbb9
- Eva Appel. "Systematic Literature Review of Usability and Feasibility Evaluation Studies for Mobile Healthcare Applications" 2014, doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/b9d93462480559cea4b0905fdddc36157a8aff9
- Joanna Łabędzka. "Joanna ŁABĘDZKA" 2014, doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/99b7c1934c49221fbaf3c9ebf15207784851f097
- Md Asfaquar Rahman, Joy Bhowmik, Md Sabbir Ahamed, Ridwanur Rahman. "OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES IN DATA ANALYSIS USING SAP: A REVIEW OF ERP SOFTWARE PERFORMANCE" Global Mainstream Journal, 2024, doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/fae6eb04a7321064279303350de4b9d0f04d71c1
- R. Reznikov, S. Turlakova. "The crucial role of IT service companies in driving the modern economy" Scientific notes, 2024, doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/e6c6cd2445369a3f1921fc492e6ac0725fc526f1
- Wafa Tarawneh. "A Comparative Adoption of COTS Database Components Using the Hybrid AHP-TOPSIS and AHP-VIKOR Methodologies" Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 2021, doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/1564c2579355842fdad95dd451f170d4656e9499
- B. Rosa, Reuma Margalit-Yehuda, K. Gatt, M. Sciberras, C. Girelli, J. Saurin, P. Valdivia, et al.. "Scoring systems in clinical small-bowel capsule endoscopy: all you need to know!" Endoscopy International Open, 2021, E802 - E823. doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/7113c832dc1b0691c6ff531c692a9d4bab9ffc69
- Sean Kanuck. "Humor, Ethics, and Dignity: Being Human in the Age of Artificial Intelligence" Ethics & International Affairs, 2019, 3 - 12. doi: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/0ba6d1e4490f1a21eeac19d6c51c5763fcfaa452
- Stefan Stieglitz, Milad Mirbabaie, Björn Roß, Christoph Neuberger. "Social media analytics – Challenges in topic discovery, data collection, and data preparation" International Journal of Information Management, 2017, 156-168. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2017.12.002
- Shahriar Akter, Samuel Fosso Wamba. "Big data analytics in E-commerce: a systematic review and agenda for future research" Electronic Markets, 2016, 173-194. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-016-0219-0
- Bogdan Batrinca, Philip Treleaven. "Social media analytics: a survey of techniques, tools and platforms" AI & Society, 2014, 89-116. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-014-0549-4
- Surajit Chaudhuri, Umeshwar Dayal, Vivek Narasayya. "An overview of business intelligence technology" Communications of the ACM, 2011, 88-98. doi: https://doi.org/10.1145/1978542.1978562
- Adamantios Diamantopoulos, Judy A. Siguaw. "Formative Versus Reflective Indicators in Organizational Measure Development: A Comparison and Empirical Illustration" British Journal of Management, 2006, 263-282. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2006.00500.x
- Yogesh K. Dwivedi, Elvira Ismagilova, David L. Hughes, Jamie Carlson, Raffaele Filieri, Jenna Jacobson, Varsha Jain, et al.. "Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propositions" International Journal of Information Management, 2020, 102168-102168. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102168
- Ines Mergel, Noella Edelmann, Nathalie Haug. "Defining digital transformation: Results from expert interviews" Government Information Quarterly, 2019, 101385-101385. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.06.002
- . "Oslo Manual 2018" The measurement of scientific, technological and innovation activities, 2018, doi: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264304604-en
- Julian M. Müller, Daniel Kiel, Kai-Ingo Voigt. "What Drives the Implementation of Industry 4.0? The Role of Opportunities and Challenges in the Context of Sustainability" Sustainability, 2018, 247-247. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010247

- Yogesh K. Dwivedi, Nripendra P. Rana, Anand Jeyaraj, Marc Clement, Michael D. Williams. "Re-examining the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT): Towards a Revised Theoretical Model" Information Systems Frontiers, 2017, 719-734. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9774-y